

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,884 12/05/2003		Stefan Gierl	Harman.6646CON	9209
759	04/19/2005		EXAM	INER
Samuels, Gaut	hier & Stevens LLP		TRAN,	CON P
Suite 3300 225 Franklin Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02110			2644	

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/729,884	GIERL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Con P. Tran	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> l	December 2003.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
,						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9)  The specification is objected to by the Examin</li> <li>10)  The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 2003</u> is/Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct</li> <li>11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin</li> </ul>	fare: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)		(770 (40)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/13/04</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

Acknowledgment is made of applicants' claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). None of certified copy of the priority documents have been received.

#### Claim Objections

2. Claims 19-21 are objected to because of the following informalities: Method claims 19-21 depend from Claim 1 which is an apparatus claim. For purpose of examining, Examiner assumes that claims 19-21 depend from Claim 9.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. **Claim 9** recites the limitation "the digital oscillator" in line 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### **Double Patenting**

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/729,884

Art Unit: 2644

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of U.S. Patent No. 6,661,292. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant independent claims 1, 9, and 22 are broader than the patented claims 1 (error signal vs filtered error signal), 9 (dividing vs decimating; phase position vs phase difference), and 22 (means for controlling the oscillator vs means for providing the oscillator control signal), respectively, and would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to realize that the newly broaden claims are essentially similar to the claims of parent case.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Inventor	Publication	Number	Disclosure
Yamamoto	US Patent	6,556,631	An RDS data demodulator capable of
et al.			precisely attenuating ARI signal.
Richards, Jr.	US Patent	5,507,024	An FM stereo radio data-system

Art Unit: 2644

			receiver.
Yamamoto	US Patent	5,444,744	A phase locked loop circuit for
et al.	ļ		synchronizing with carrier wave.
Henze	US Patent	5,436,591	A demodulator for radio data signals.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Con P. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7532. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:30 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Sinh N. Tran can be reached on (571) 272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cpt CPJ April 13, 2005

XU MEI PRIMARY EXAMINER